

Bay Crossing Study Public Comments  
May 1, 2020 – May 31, 2020

DATE	MEDIUM	COMMENTS ON RANGE OF ALTERNATIVES (Personally Identifying Information Removed)	COMMENTS ON CARA (Personally Identifying Information Removed)	THREE FACTORS MOST IMPORTANT IN SELECTING THE PREFERRED CORRIDOR ALTERNATIVE	OTHER	ADDITIONAL COMMENTS (Personally Identifying Information Removed)
5/21/2020	Web	<p>Corridor 7 will increase traffic stress on the existing RT50/301 corridor !</p> <p>Corridor 6 will help service traffic from the Baltimore area, without adding to the RT50 traffic and not adding traffic to RT97.</p> <p>The no-build option will only add to existing traffic delays and result in a further divided Maryland.</p>		Reducing congestion, Environmental impacts, Cost		
5/26/2020	Web	<p>I'm thinking the best alternative is to build next to the existing two bridges. Build another three-lane bridge to the south of the two-lane bridge. Then the flow would be...new three-lane bridge always travels east, existing three-lane bridge always travels west, and the existing two-lane bridge alternates direction but only one-way travel at a time. Ex. in the morning the existing two-lane would flow west and in afternoon would flow east. This way we never have dangerous two-way travel on the same bridge at the same time.</p>	7 so as to go with my comment.	Reducing congestion, Safety, Community/Development impacts		